

[This Issue](#)

PDF

 Full Text Share

Article

November 23, 1994

Impact of Medical Examiner/Coroner Practices on Organ Recovery in the United States

Teresa Shafer, RN, MSN, CPTC; Lawrence L. Schkade, PhD, CSP; Howell E. Warner, CPTC; [et al](#)

JAMA. 1994;272(20):1607-1613. doi:10.1001/jama.1994.03520200063037

Abstract

Objective. —The object of this research is to determine the nature and extent of the loss of donor organs during a 3-year period that are otherwise medically suitable for organ transplantation owing to nonrelease by a local medical examiner or coroner.

Design and Data Sources. —In a retrospective study of the effects of medical examiner/coroner donor release practices, a detailed survey was mailed to every organ procurement organization (OPO) in the United States. This survey collected specific data (eg, number of cases denied per year), as well as descriptive information.

Results. —Of the 2670 organ donors reported by 39 OPO respondents in 1990, 62.1% were medical examiner cases, and 129 (7.2%) of these cases were denied recovery by the local medical examiner/coroner. The number of denials increased to 181 (9.6% of medical examiner cases) in 1991 and to 251 (11.4% of medical examiner cases) in 1992. It is estimated that the population of medical examiner/coroner denials from 1990 through 1992 may be as many as 884. Twenty percent of the OPO respondents reported that no cases were denied recovery by a local medical examiner/coroner. A comparative analysis reveals that the proportion of total reported potential medical examiner/coroner cases that were denied increased by 65% from 1990 to 1992, while medical examiner/coroner cases released declined slightly.

Our website uses cookies to enhance your experience. By continuing to use our site, or clicking "Continue," you are agreeing to our [cookie policy](#) | [Continue](#)

examiners'/coroners' denials. There were no donor denials in 10 geographical areas of the United States, nor was there any instance of violation of case law or any documentation of flawed autopsies or collection of forensic evidence in donor cases released. These two factors suggest that the loss of human life from denials is not needed to protect the judicial process. Increased cooperation between medical examiner offices and OPOs could significantly increase the availability of transplantable organs.(*JAMA*. 1994;272:1607-1613)

 **Full Text**

Advertisement

New! *JAMA Network Open* is now accepting submissions. [Learn more.](#)

**** SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE ****

Our websites may be periodically unavailable between 6:00AM CT and 4:00PM CT on March 12, 2019 for regularly scheduled maintenance.

Trending

Opinion

The 50-Year Legacy of the Harvard Report on Brain Death

July 24, 2018

Opinion

Making Sense of the Difference Between Legal and Biological Definitions of Death

May 8, 2018

Humanities

A Neuropsychologist's Odyssey

February 5, 2019

Select Your Interests

Our website uses cookies to enhance your experience. By continuing to use our site, or clicking "Continue," you are agreeing to our [cookie policy](#) | [Continue](#)

Advertisement

Others Also Liked

Number of deceased organ donors increases in the US

Healio

Organ transplants in US set sixth consecutive record in 2018

Healio

Donor organ recovery at standalone facility increases suitable organs for transplant

MedicalXpress

Powered by **TREND MD**

Trending

The 50-Year Legacy of the Harvard Report on Brain Death

JAMA | *Opinion* | July 24, 2018

Making Sense of the Difference Between Legal and Biological Definitions of Death

JAMA | *Opinion* | May 8, 2018

Contemporary Legal Updates to the Definition of Brain Death

Our website uses cookies to enhance your experience. By continuing to use our site, or clicking "Continue," you are agreeing to our [cookie policy](#) | [Continue](#)

Our website uses cookies to enhance your experience. By continuing to use our site, or clicking "Continue," you are agreeing to our [cookie policy](#) | **Continue**